1	MEGHAN SHERMAN CATER (pro hac vice)	
2	Email: meghan.sherman@cfpb.gov	
	BARRY REIFERSON (pro hac vice)	
3	Email: barry.reiferson@cfpb.gov	
4	JADE A. BURNS (pro hac vice)	
_	Email: jade.burns@cfpb.gov	
5	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau	
6	1700 G Street, NW	
7	Washington, DC 20552	
7	Telephone: (212) 328-7020	
8		
9	KENT A. KAWAKAMI, CA Bar #149803	
-	Email: kent.kawakami@usdoj.gov	
10	Local Counsel United States Attorney's Office	
11	United States Attorney's Office Central District of California – Civil Division	
12	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
13	Telephone: (213) 894-4858	
14		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
1/	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	WESTERN DIVISION	
19		G N 2.15 OCCO PROCE
	Consumer Financial Protection	Case No. 2:15-cv-9692-PSG(Ex)
20	Bureau,	Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez
21	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF
22	Transcrit,	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION
	v.	FOR CERTIFICATION OF
23		INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL AND STAY
24	D and D Marketing, Inc., d/b/a	
25	T3Leads, et al.,	Hearing Date & Time: March 27, 2017; 1:30
		p.m.
26	Defendants.	Courtroom 6A, 350 West 1st Street, 6th Floor,
27		Los Angeles, California 90012
28		

5

6

12

14

27

1 I, Barry E. Reiferson, under 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of New York and $3\parallel$ am employed by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB or Bureau) as an Attorney-Advisor in the Office of Enforcement.
 - 2. I am admitted *pro hac vice* in this case as counsel for plaintiff CFPB.
- 3. I submit this declaration in support of the Bureau's opposition to the defendants' motions for certification of interlocutory appeal and a concomitant stay 8 filed in three related cases: CFPB v. D and D Marketing, Inc., d/b/a T3Leads, et al. 9 [hereinafter T3], 2:15-cv-9692-PSG(Ex), ECF No. 69; CFPB v. Dmitry Fomichev, **10**|| 2:16-cv-2724-PSG(Ex), ECF No. 47; CFPB v. Davit Gasparyan, 2:16-cv-2724-11 PSG(Ex), ECF No. 61.
- 4. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and am competent 13 and authorized to make this Declaration.
- 5. On October 27, 2016, the Bureau requested that all defendants in these 15 three related cases agree to participate in a Rule 26(f) conference and agree to 16 consolidation of the three cases. The T3 defendants (D and D Marketing, Inc.; Grigor 17 Demirchyan, and Marina Demirchyan) and Fomichev refused the request outright, 18 stating that a "Rule 26(f) conference, discovery and consolidation [was] 19 premature ... given the motions to dismiss pending before the Court." Gasparyan 20 stated first that he would likely refuse the request, but soon agreed in principle to a 21 joint Rule 26(f) conference with the other defendants later in 2016. Gasparyan and 22 the other defendants failed to schedule a Rule 26(f) conference or agree to 23 consolidation in 2016. On December 21, 2016, after numerous unreturned telephone 24 calls and emails to Gasparyan's counsel on the subject, Gasparyan's counsel advised 25 the Bureau that Gasparyan was substituting new counsel to whom all **26** communications should be directed.
- 6. On November 22, 2016, the Bureau requested the T3 Defendants' and **28** Fomichev's participation in a Rule 26(f) conference and reconsideration of